FEBS Letters 466 (2000) 208 FEBS 23207

## From the handling editor

## G. Semenza\*

Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Biochemistry, ETH-Zentrum, CH-8092 Zürich, Switzerland

Received 20 December 1999

Given the present state of knowledge, we close herewith the discussion on Kunz et al.'s paper [1] which was originally initiated by Brown [2] and continued by Forloni et al. (see previous pages) along with the related Comments by Christen's group. All groups involved are invited to exchange and test their peptide(s) in their experimental setups and come up (hopefully) with a common statement. In the absence of such kind of cross-comparisons any further exchange of contrasting views can only be repetitive and become futile.

## References

- [1] Kunz, B., Sandmeier, E. and Christen, P. (1999) FEBS Lett. 458, 65–68
- [2] Brown, D.R. (1999) FEBS Lett. 460, 559-560.

0014-5793/00/\$20.00  $\ \, \odot$  2000 Federation of European Biochemical Societies. All rights reserved.

PII: S0014-5793(99)01783-4

<sup>\*</sup>Fax: (41)-1-632 31 33.